

Censorship Eclipses Free Speech

The Truth is the Light and the Darkness cannot overcome it. If there is indeed "One True God", Creator and Master of the Universe, then it follows without much possibility of argument that a true understanding of Physics would bear out the truth of such a God rather than refute it. Therefore, it follows that if one where to (through a series of events) become entrusted with the "Proof" of God, and remained true to the principles that earned them that trust, they would find themselves at odds with, not only many of the current hypotheses of Physics, but all the hypocrites and ritualistic dogmas of Religion as well.

The vibrations that resound in our heads, in the form of words, are derived from the transmission and reception of "Pure" energy. According to John's first few words in "The New Testament", the "Beginning" was born of the "Word" of God: energy, or "E" as in

E=MC² (MC² meaning all that is). Today's men of Physics insist that the "Big Bang" represents a Universe of "M" (Mass) that was dispersed everywhere, all at once, in a manner inconsistent with natural law. Which is to say that it was dispersed in a "Supernatural" way. Ironically, a hypothesis which states that "The Big Bang" happened as a result of the gathering together of "E" in a manner inconsistent with natural law to form "The Singularity", reveals an explanation for gravity, electricity, magnetism and even "Black Holes". Moreover, if God exists, then the "Word" of God is also the "Law", both of physics (the physical), and of behavioral conduct. According to John, Jesus was of the beginning and of the "Word", making Him the incarnation of the Law, the flawless Son of God, but not God The Father Himself. The "Evolution" of Humanity, which is the handiwork of God, began with the rituals

of repentance, designed to teach Mankind "Behavioral Law" through ritual sacrifice. The Christ, Jesus, taught us to ingest bread and wine in remembrance of His flesh and of His blood so that we would one day learn to "internalize" the "Law", of which He was the physical incarnation, rather than just pay "Lip Service" to it. We are to attempt to follow in His path rather than to simply make offerings to Him for having lived according to the Law at the cost of His life, for our sake. Fore, not only did Jesus die for the atonement of the sins of Mankind, but He died as a result of the sins of Mankind. So then, if one is true to the Law, they have taken His flesh for their flesh and His blood for their blood and abandoned the physical bloodline of their heredity for the Spiritual bloodline of the Christ of God and they become a threat to those Scientific and Religious Doctrines that are not of the Law. Consequently, one's right to "Voice" the

Word of God is very often denied. Maybe you don't agree with any of the things I have said. But the question is, "Do you believe I have a right to say them publicly, or do you think that an individual's right to freedom of speech, "Your Right To Freedom Of Speech", should be limited to either being sanctioned by the "Media", or standing in a public space and hypothesizing as people walk past?" Do you believe that "Freedom of the Press" was included in the Constitution of these United States for the sake of the People and their Democracy or just for the sake of members of the press and those who sign their checks? The Bible tells the story of the Apostle Paul on trial for his beliefs and even his enemies, the Scribes of the Pharisees, warning of the danger of denying his contrarian teachings a voice. (Acts 23:9)

On a secular note, in the spring of 2008, the Governor of California, protested on the "Tonight Show" that Environmentalists wouldn't let him build more solar collectors in the Mojave Desert to support the "Power Grid". But why, when we could use the identical technology placed on the rooftops of the L.A. basin to help Mankind escape the grip of those who control the "Power Grid"? This page contains a letter I sent to Sam "the ad-man", in care of "The Daily Californian" on the date indicated on the receipt. It included my return address and phone number and the check shown below. And though it was "Signed" for on 3/14/08, I never heard from anyone, nor did anything find its way back to me; not even the check, which was never cashed. After ninety days I was allowed to put a stop payment on it. The rest you can figure out for yourself.

Dear Sam,

If all the "Bio-mass" in the form of autumn leaves and summer shrubs where gathered and burned, rather than allowed to decompose into methane, and the carbon ash contained rather than dispersed, there would be a net reduction in CO². Add to that all the debris that has accumulated as tinder on the floor of our National Parks and Forests and we have a ready-made way to generate the revenue to reduce the threat and severity of summer fires while removing CO² from the atmosphere, while creating free home heat and Hydrogen and creating environmentally friendly jobs. The Chinese are building a new "Green" infrastructure without putting a boiler/generator system in their larger buildings and while distributing coal pellets to many of the citizens to use for home heating, when we could all be using existing heating supplies to convert to an oil-free economy that also has far less need for centrally controlled power plants or wars over energy. So who would stand in our way when all it threatens is Oil, Utilities, Detroit, and those who make a living looking for alternatives and those who prop them up?

As I understood it, your newspaper is student run and independent of what my generation called "The Establishment". As a journalist, it should be obvious to you why I hoped it was true. Journalists are endowed by the Constitution of These United States with the sacred trust of "Keeper of the Open Forum" but as you can see from the reverse side of the open letter to Prof. Dementt, I am no stranger to rejection. Whether or not I will continue to seek publication of these pages is not in question. The question is, "Will I be allowed to publish in your paper, or will you and your staff identify yourselves with people who view the right to "Freedom of Speech" as MS. Prives does?" You and your peers have come to a crossroads. You must decide if you have pursued journalism as a means to seek truth and understanding through the exchange of new and sometimes controversial ideas regardless of the possible personal ramifications or do you simply hope to make a substantial income as "Printer of the latest Corporate News Release" and "Guardian of the Status Quo".

Enclosed, please find the printed layout as it was presented to Tufts as well as a full page of text. I hope you will at least take the time to read both pages before making your decision. The reverse side of the Tufts page is simply for your edification. You may do with it what you please. There is also a CD containing all of the above along with a check in the amount of \$4,438.40 made payable to "The Daily Californian", in accordance with our discussion. I fully appreciate the gravity of the decision I have imposed upon you and I want to sincerely thank you for your consideration. I also know that the ad sounds a little crazy. But insanity is described as the repetition of the same act over and over again with the expectation of a different result. The Media reports that yours is a generation hungry for "Change" and yet you look for it in the media circus of this election. You spend your days devoted to the treadmill, learning to frame your understanding within the context and confines of those whose fortune is defined in resisting change and when someone comes along from a different orientation you are often unwilling to put forth the extra effort to even look because you trust that the system, which has never changed, is about to. You and your peers have been given the keys, to the assertions made, in the form of the Biblical passages referenced for you within the text. In the course of one page of type you can prove or disprove the possibility that there is something of value offered to you children. Would it be same to let your superiors dismiss it out of hand with your consent, when it is *your* future at stake? I think not. If you are still reading, you are to be commended. It shows the kind of open mindedness that will be necessary for you to make the journey required.

If you find flaws in the logic I would be delighted to hear them. Please feel free to copy from the CD and pass it on regardless of your decision on the ad. Given your apparent apprehension over the phone, I feel my chances of publication have diminished. But I want you to ask yourself: "What would happen if these same ideas came to you as a 'News Release' from within the 'System': say from up on the 'Holy Hill' or over in the 'Energy Research Department'?" Even if they were refuted immediately, and from all sides, wouldn't they still be on the front page and at no expense to those who released them? That should tell you something, if I am unable to even buy the space somewhere in the back. If this ad is allowed to appear, I would like it placed on a Thursday if possible but before the spring break exodus or not at all. I would also like a copy sent to me.

RCD

Thank you,
Good luck and God's speed,

Page 1 of 1

Home | Help

Track & Confirm

PAID TO

Four Thousand Four Hundred Thirty Eight Dollars and 40/100

CASHIER'S CHECK

NON-NEGOTIABLE

2121000871

90-78/1211

Track & Confirm


Search Results

Label/Receipt Number: EB43 0793 675U S
Status: Delivered

Your item was delivered at 8:49 AM on March 14, 2008 in BERKELE
CA 94704. The item was signed for by D RAMES.

[Additional Details >](#) [Return to USPS.com Hon](#)

Notification Options



EB 430793L75 US



Customer

USPS - Track & Confirm



Post Office To

DELIVERY (POSTAL USE ONLY)

DELIVERY ADDRESS